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The title compounds (DAQs) are chiral when the two $N$-acyl groups are different because of the absence of rotation around the $N-N$ bond (a chiral axis). Enantiopure DAQs have been obtained by incorporation of a chiral centre in enantiopure form either into the substituent at the Q2-position or into one of the $N$-acyl groups, or into both, followed by separation of diastereoisomers. This separation is unnecessary in one case because conversion of the N -monoacylaminoquinazolinone (MAQ) into the DAQ is completely diastereoselective. Neither is separation of diastereoisomers necessary with 3 -[ $N, N$-di- $(S)$-2-acetoxypropanoylamino]-2-diphenylmethylquinazolin-4( 3 H )-one 37a: this DAQ 37a has its $N-N$ bond rendered a chiral axis by the bias in its imide moiety wholly in favour of one exolendo conformation.

The high chemoselectivity exhibited by $N, N$-diacetyl- or $N, N$-dibenzoylaminoquinazolinones in reaction with the less hindered of two secondary amines (pyrrolidine in the presence of 1 eq . of piperidine) has a stereoselective counterpart: reaction of the above enantiopure DAQs enantioselectively with racemic amines leading to kinetic resolution. Using 1 eq. of DAQ and 2 eq. of amine, both the derivatised and unreacted amine enantiomers are recovered with high enantiomeric excess (ee) (better than $90 \%$ ee in some cases). Some of the higher ees are found in the recovered amides where non-chemoselective attack on both $N$-acyl groups of the DAQ has occurred: from the opposite configurations of the amine component in the two amides and from the low enantiopurity of the recovered unreacted amine, reaction of each of the $N$-acyl groups with complementary enantiomers of the amine is occurring (parallel kinetic resolution).

Although higher ees are, in general, obtained using secondary amines, high ees are obtained in some cases using 1-phenylethylamine and, in particular, amino acid esters (valine and alanine).
The sense of enantioselectivity in the reactions of these DAQs with amines is controlled by the configuration of the $N-N$ axis: replacing the Q group in an $N-(S)-2$-acetoxypropanoyl $-N$-acetyl-bearing DAQ by phthalimide, thus eliminating the $N-N$ chiral axis, drastically reduces the level of kinetic resolution.

## Introduction

3- $N, N$-Diacylaminoquinazolinones (DAQs) e.g. 1 are highly chemoselective acylating agents for primary amines in the presence of secondary amines and for the less sterically hindered of two secondary amines. ${ }^{1}$

We have improved on our previously obtained level of chemoselectivity, as measured by the preference for attack on pyrrolidine in preference to piperidine, by the use of 3 - $N, N$-diacetylaminoquinazolinone 2 : reaction of the corresponding 3 - $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzoylaminoquinazolinone 3 at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the same mixture of amines was even more chemoselective (Scheme 1). ${ }^{2}$
Of greater interest was whether this chemoselectivity had a stereoselective counterpart: would an enantiopure DAQ react selectively with one enantiomer of a racemic amine leading to kinetic resolution of that amine? ${ }^{3}$ In DAQs having nonidentical $N$-acyl groups, the $N-N$ bond is a chiral axis, configurationally stable at room temperature, with the Q ring and the imide moiety contained in orthogonal planes. The presence of an additional chiral centre as in (racemic) DAQ 4, allows the separation of diastereoisomers and, from the rate of conversion of one of the diastereoisomers into the other on heating in toluene, a barrier to $N-N$ bond rotation $\Delta G^{\#}=121 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ was calculated. ${ }^{4}$

To prepare the enantiopure DAQs required to test the stereoselectivity of their reactions with racemic amines, it was expedient to incorporate a chiral centre in enantiopure form into the DAQ and to separate the two enantiopure diastereoisomers


1

$2 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
$3 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} 20 \quad: \quad 1$
Scheme 1
obtained: the chiral centre could be located either in the Q2substituent or in one of the N -acyl groups.

Previously we had shown that kinetic resolution of 1-phenylethylamine using the separated enantiopure diastereoisomers of DAQ 5 was feasible albeit with low enantioselectivity ( $55 \%$ ee and $40 \%$ ee, respectively). ${ }^{4}$ In these resolutions, the sense of enantioselectivity was controlled by the configuration of the $N$ $N$ bond since the two diastereoisomers of DAQ 5, differing in configuration at this bond, reacted with different enantiomers of the amine. Subsequently, we found that acylations using DAQs were more chemoselective when applied to two secondary amines (cf. Scheme 1) ${ }^{1}$ and so our expectation was that greater enantioselectivity would be obtained in kinetic resolutions of secondary amines using enantiopure DAQs.
In this paper we report the preparation of diastereopure and highly enantiopure DAQs 8a, 8b, 9, 31a, 31b and 37a and kinetic resolution experiments using 2- and 3-methylpiperidine, 1-phenylethylamine and amino acid esters with the above DAQs and with DAQs 24a-d and 35.

## Results and discussion

## 3-( $N$-Acyl- $N$-benzoylamino)quinazolinones 8 and 9 (DAQ ${ }^{1}$ s 8 and 9)

3-Aminoquinazolinone $6\left(Q^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ was prepared as described previously from (L)-valine. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathrm{~s} 8$ and 9 were obtained by successive $N$-acylation of $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{6}$ with benzoyl chloride and then with 2-methylpropanoyl chloride or acetyl chloride respectively (Scheme 2).





Scheme 2 Reagents: i, PhCOCl , pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; ii, $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; iii, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Separation of DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{8}$ into oily $8 \mathbf{8 a}$ and crystalline $\mathbf{8 b}$ diastereoisomers was achieved by kieselgel chromatography. An X-ray crystal structure previously determined for $\mathbf{8 b}^{3 b}$ allowed assignment of configuration to the $N-N$ axis and also revealed that the conformation of the imide moiety was the less common exolexo $\dagger$ (exo carbonyl cis to $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ ). A striking feature of the crystal structure is the non-planarity of the imide with torsion angles of $24.4^{\circ}$ and $9.7^{\circ}$ for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ planes of 2-methylpropanoyl and benzoyl respectively and with the imide nitrogen pyramidalised ( $\Sigma \theta=352^{\circ}$ for the sum of bond angles around nitrogen). With the benzoyl group also, the planes containing the carbonyl group and the benzene ring are inclined at an angle of $50^{\circ}$.
$\dagger \mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{8 b}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ are the only ones with exolexo conformations for the imide moieties in their crystal structures compared with 12 structures having exolendo imide conformations that we have obtained.

The barrier to interconversion of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathrm{~s} \mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ was not quantified but was clearly lower than that separating the two diastereoisomers of DAQ 4 since interconversion between them took place slowly in chloroform solution at room temperature and was complete after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h giving a $1: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$.

By contrast, acetylation of $N$-benzoylaminoquinazolinone MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ with acetyl chloride-pyridine was completely diastereoselective giving a crystalline sample of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9$ (76\%). The X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9$ (Fig. 1) was analogous


Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 9 showing the atom label scheme. Displacement parameters are shown at the $30 \%$ level. H atoms bonded to chiral centres are shown with dashed bonds, all other H atoms are omitted for clarity.
to that of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ 8a. However the conformation around the bond linking $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ to its $\mathrm{C}-2$ chiral centre was different in the two structures.

Not only was DAQ ${ }^{1} 9$ the only diastereoisomer formed in the acetylation of MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ but it also appeared to be the thermodynamically preferred since heating it at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 1$ minute produced no additional signals from another diastereoisomer in its NMR spectrum.

The barrier to rotation around the $N-N$ bond in $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} 7$ is, as expected, lower than that in $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ 8a and does not allow separation of diastereoisomers at room temperature. ${ }^{6}$ However, the NMR spectrum of MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ at room temperature shows the presence of two doublets at $\delta 5.08$ and 6.03 , both $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (ratio 7:1) presumably from $\operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{OSi}$ in diastereoisomers arising from $N-N$ bond rotation at a rate which is slow on the NMR timescale. When a crystalline sample of this MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum measured at this temperature, $\ddagger$ this $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{C} H O S i$ signal appeared as two doublets ( $\delta 4.68$ and 4.43 ppm ) now ratio 1:1.5 and, significantly, with different $J$ values ( 2 and 7 Hz respectively). The disparate concentrations and $J$ values for the species present in the spectra run at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the complex changes in the spectra run at intermediate temperatures suggest the presence of a second temperature-dependent process associated with restricted rotation within the $\mathrm{Q}^{1}-2$ substituent.
An X-ray crystal structure (Fig. 2) of MAQ 11 (Scheme 3), prepared from the corresponding 3-aminoquinazolinone 10,

[^0]

Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 11. Details as for Fig. 1.


Scheme 3
shows the benzoyl group with its carbonyl exo as expected. Even in MAQ 11 there is a substantial deviation of the amide group from planarity ( $\mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{CO}$ torsion angle $14^{\circ}$ ): the benzene ring, however, is now more nearly coplanar with the carbonyl group (torsion angle, $6.6^{\circ}$ ).

Reactions of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ s 8a, 8b and 9 with racemic amines. Reaction of oily DAQ ${ }^{1} 8 \mathbf{a}$ (1 eq.) with 2-methylpiperidine (2 eq.) in dichloromethane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h takes place highly enantioselectively. Unreacted 2 -methylpiperidine was extracted with aqueous hydrochloric acid and the freed amine converted into $N$-benzoylamide $\mathbf{1 2}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+30$ (c $0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The benzoylamide $\mathbf{1 2}$ formed in the reaction mixture was separated from the only other product MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ (Scheme 4) by chromatography and had $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-31.4$ (c 0.8, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.

The absolute configurations and ees given in Scheme 4 are based on the specific rotation of a sample of $(S)$ - N -benzoyl-2methylpiperidine $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+32.9\left(c 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, prepared from $(S)$-2-methylpiperidine, $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=8.9$ (c 2, EtOH) itself obtained from the racemic amine by resolution using ( $R$ )-mandelic acid. ${ }^{7}$ The enantiopurity of this ( $S$ )-2-methylpiperidine was independently confirmed by its derivatisation with ( $S$ )-2acetoxypropanoyl chloride and by comparison of the NMR spectrum of the product 14 a with the mixture of diastereoisomers formed from racemic 2-methylpiperidine: at 400 MHz and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the $\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}$ signals from the two diastereoisomers in this mixture were completely separated.

A quantitative measure of the enantioselectivity in reaction of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 8 \mathrm{a}$ with 2-methylpiperidine was obtained from its rate of reaction with each of the separated enantiomers of this amine, $k_{1}(R)$ and $k_{2}(S)$. From the rotation values for the separated enantiomers, the faster reacting ( $R$ ) was of $95 \%$ ee and the ratio $k_{1}(R): k_{2}(S)$ was at least $27: 1$.


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, 2-Methylpiperidine ( 2 eq.), $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ then $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, 2-methylpiperidine (2 eq.), $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ then $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$; iii, $(S)-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Reaction of the crystalline $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{8 b}$ with 2-methylpiperidine was considerably slower than for its diastereoisomer above (Scheme 4). Significantly, it was the other amine enantiomer which reacted preferentially giving ( S )- N -benzoylamide $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $81 \%$ ee). Since DAQ $^{1}$ s $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ have the same configuration for their chiral centres but opposite configurations for their chiral $N-N$ axes, it is the latter which control the sense of enantioselectivity.

Although the oily DAQ $^{1}$ 8a gives high enantioselectivity in its reaction with 2-methylpiperidine, its separation from DAQ ${ }^{1}$ 8b requires careful chromatography. By contrast, DAQ ${ }^{1} 9$ is formed completely diastereoselectively in good yield (see earlier). However, its reactions, unlike those of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{8 a}$, are not chemoselective: reaction with 2-methylpiperidine (Scheme 5) gave a mixture of $N$-benzoyl- and $N$-acetyl- amides


Scheme 5
12 and $\mathbf{1 5}$ together with their complementary MAQ ${ }^{1}$ s 16 and 7. From this ratio of MAQ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~s} 16$ and 7 , the ratio of amides $\mathbf{1 2}: \mathbf{1 5}$ formed in the crude reaction mixture was $2.5: 1$. This ratio was confirmed from the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product at 400 MHz and $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : interpretation of the spectrum at this low temperature is facilitated by separation of the broadened signals for the amides $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ into two sets of signals from the component $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ bond rotamers.

The $N$-benzoylamide 12 ( $41 \%$ ) isolated by chromatography was found to be the ( $S$ )-enantiomer of $91 \%$ ee by comparison with an authentic sample. Although the $N$-acetylamide $\mathbf{1 5}$ was not isolated, the unreacted 2-methylpiperidine recovered as its hydrochloride salt was found to be of low enantiopurity ( $11 \%$ ee) by comparison of its specific rotation with that of an enantiopure sample. It appears that the two imide carbonyl groups are reacting with complementary enantiomers of the racemic amine i.e. parallel kinetic resolution is occurring ${ }^{8}$ with the two acyl groups of the imide behaving pseudoenantiotopically towards the reacting amine.


Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: i, 3-Methylpiperidine (2 eq.), -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ then $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, TBAF, THF.

Reaction of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9$ with racemic 3-methylpiperidine was also studied (Scheme 6). As with 2-methylpiperidine, attack on both imide carbonyl groups occurs. Chromatography separated MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ but amide 17 and $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} 16$ were co-eluted. After desilylation of $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} 16$ in this mixture by treating with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in tetrahydrofuran, separation by flash chromatography gave $N$-benzoyl-3-methylpiperidine 17 ( $32 \%$ ) whose ( $S$ )-configuration and enantiopurity ( $85 \%$ ee) were based on a sample prepared by benzoylation of ( $R$ )-3-methylpiperidine.
$(R)$-3-Methylpiperidine was obtained from the racemate by fractional crystallisation of the tartrate salts. ${ }^{9}$ From the ratio of $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1}$ s 16 and 7 in the crude reaction mixture, the ratio of $N$-benzoyl- to (unrecovered) $N$-acetyl-2-methylpiperidine 17 : 18 was $3: 1$.

In the reaction of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9$ with 1-phenylethylamine (Scheme 7) both amides 20 and 21, resulting from attack on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9 \\
& \mathrm{Ph}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{NI}_{2} \\
& -20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h} \\
& 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Scheme 7
imide benzoyl and acetyl groups respectively, were recovered and shown to have resulted from reactions of complementary enantiomers of the amine. As in Scheme 6, the recovered unreacted amine was of low enantiopurity ( $16 \%$ ee).

In contrast to the non-chemoselectivity in reactions of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ 9 in Schemes 5-7, racemic valine methyl ester reacts highly chemoselectively with the benzoyl group and gave amide 22 of high enantiopurity ( $94 \%$ ee) by comparison with the rotation of an authentic sample (Scheme 8).

From the ratio of $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1}$ s 16 and 7 isolated by chromatography, the chemoselectivity is $21: 1$ favouring attack on the benzoyl group.

Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{2 4 a - d}$ with amines. In a previous paper ${ }^{5}$ we described the preparation of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ s $\mathbf{2 4 a - d}$ by reaction of 3aminoquinazolinone $6\left(\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ with $(S)$-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride followed by 2-methylpropanoyl chloride (Scheme 9). Considerable epimerisation at the ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl centre occurs in the second $N$-acylation step, resulting in the formation of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ s 24a and 24d, $(R)$-configured at this centre as well as $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 4 c}$


## Scheme 8



Scheme 9
All of these four diastereoisomeric $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ s react completely chemoselectively with 1-phenylethylamine at the 2-acetoxypropanoyl group except DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{2 4 b}$ (Scheme 10).

The enantioselectivity arising from attack of the amine on the 2-acetoxypropanoyl group was quantifiable directly by NMR spectroscopy after chromatography, being equivalent to the diastereoisomer excess (de) of the amide product and measurable by comparison with spectra of authentic samples of these diastereoisomers; control experiments confirmed that no change in de occurred on chromatography.

The relative rates of reaction of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathrm{~s} \mathbf{2 4 a - d}$ (and other DAQs in this paper) with amines have been used to probe into the mechanism and to rationalise the stereochemistry of these acylations and will be discussed elsewhere. For the present it is noteworthy that, of these four $\mathrm{DAQ}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{s}, \mathbf{2 4 b}$ has the largest torsion angle between $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds for the 2-methylpropanoyl $\left(25.1^{\circ}\right)$ and also the largest disparity in $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ bond lengths for 2-methylpropanoyl and 2-acetoxypropanoyl groups $\left(1.525 \AA\right.$ vs. $1.424 \AA$ ) in the crystal structure. ${ }^{5}$

In all cases in Scheme 10, the sense of enantioselectivity is controlled by the configuration of the $N-N$ axis. Thus DAQ ${ }^{1}$ s $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 4 d}$ which are homochiral at their $N-N$ axes reacted with the $(S)$-enantiomer of 1-phenylethylamine and likewise DAQ $^{1}$ s 24a and 24 c reacted with the $(R)$-enantiomer of this amine.

2-Methylpiperidine and 2-propylpiperidine (coniine) reacted with $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{2 4 c}$, the fastest reacting diastereoisomer of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathrm{~s}$ 24a-d, highly chemo- and enantioselectively (Scheme 11).
(R)-(-)-2-Propylpiperidine hydrochloride has $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.3$ (c 0.33 , ethanol). ${ }^{10}$ Since the recovered 2-propylpiperidine enantiomer in Scheme 11 has $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.7$ (c 0.42, ethanol) (ee $89 \%$ ), the major reacting enantiomer is $(S)$ and amide 29a has the $(S, S)$-configuration i.e. 2-propyl- and 2-methylpiperidine react with $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{2 4 c}$ in the same enantiosense.

## 3-[ $N$-2-(2S)-Acetoxypropanoyl- $N$-acetylamino]-2-diphenylmethylquinazolinones 31a and 31b ( $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ 31a and 31b)

Further acylation of MAQ ${ }^{2} 30$ with ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride gave a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 31a and






Scheme 10


Scheme 11 Reagents and conditions: i, 2-Methyl- or 2-propylpiperidine, $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ then $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 24 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, $\mathrm{NaOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; iii, $(S)$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr.


Scheme 12

31b (Scheme 12) which were easily separable by flash chromatography as a result of their widely differing $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values $\left(\Delta R_{\mathrm{f}}\right.$ ca. 0.2 using light petroleum-ethyl acetate $1: 1$ ). Both crystal structures for these $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ s (Fig. 3a,b) have the same exolendo conformation (exo has 2-acetoxypropanoyl carbonyl oxygen cis
to $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ ) for their imides but, as expected, opposite configurations for their $N-N$ chiral axes.

Because there is no additional spectator chiral centre in $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ s 31a and 31b as there is in $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}$ s 24a-d, the extent of epimerisation at the 2-acetoxypropanoyl chiral centre occurring in their preparation, if any (cf. Scheme 9), is not apparent from the NMR spectra of the products; any such epimerisation will result in loss of their enantiopurity. In fact, the space group of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a in the crystal structure (Fig. 3a) was determined to be $P 21 / n$ which indicates that the molecule in this crystal is racemic. Although some epimerisation in the formation of DAQ 31a (and probably DAQ 31b) does occur, therefore, the extent must be very small and is not revealed in an enantiopurity assay (see below).

By analogy with the conformational equilibria present in DAQ ${ }^{1}$ s 24a-d, DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 31a would be expected to have the same single exolendo conformation for its imide in solution present in the crystal structure Fig. 3a whereas DAQ 31b would be present as an equilibrium mixture of exolendo and endolexo forms. Thus, in the alternative endo-exo form of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 1 a}, \mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$, there would be an unfavourable interaction between the $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc})$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ groups but this would be absent in the case of the corresponding $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 1 b}^{\prime}$.

Some estimate of the likely ratio of exolendo-endolexo imide conformations present in 31b can be arrived at from comparison with DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{2 4 c}$ since both have the same configurations at their chiral axes and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ chiral centres albeit with different Q 2 -substituents and second $N$-acyl groups. At equilibrium, the exolendo-endolexo ratio for $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{2 4 c}$ favours the former by $2.7: 1$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since the acetyl methyl group of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 1 b}$ is clearly smaller than the isobutanoyl group of DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{2 4 c}$ and since the smaller this alkyl group the more likely it is to be located in the exo-position (i.e. with its carbonyl endo), the equilibrium position for the imide in $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31b would be expected to favour the exolendo conformation by a ratio considerably greater than $2.7: 1$.

The NMR spectra of DAQ ${ }^{2}$ s 31a and 31b were broadly in line with the expectations above: the spectrum for $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a shows sharp signals for e.g. $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons and was unchanged when run at low temperature $\left(-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. For $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31b, both the corresponding proton signals were slightly broadened and, when the spectrum was run at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and



Fig. 3 a and b. The molecular structures of 31a and 31b respectively. Details as for Fig. 1.
$-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, showed further broadening then sharpening as the temperature was lowered. At the lower temperature, however, separated signals assignable to the expected minor endolexo conformation were not visible. That the major conformation in solution was the exolendo 31b was supported by the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ proton at $\delta 6.07$, consistent with its deshielding by the endo acetyl carbonyl oxygen. ${ }^{5}$

Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{2}$ s 31a and 31b with amines. Reaction of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a with 1-phenylethylamine was highly chemo- and stereo-selective (Scheme 13).

Reaction of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a with alanine ethyl ester, however, showed little chemoselectivity and the two amides were obtained with very different stereoselectivities. Thus, using four equivalents of the amine, whereas the $N$-2-acetoxypropanoyl


DAQ ${ }^{2} 31 a$ $\widehat{\mathrm{Pl}} \widehat{\mathrm{NH}}{ }_{2}$
$-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 101$

amide 33 was a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers, the $N$-acetylamide 34 was of high enantiopurity ( $97 \%$ ee) based on its specific rotation (Scheme 14).


The reaction in Scheme 14 was repeated using excess pure (L)-alanine ethyl ester and the amide isolated was shown to be diastereopure 33a by NMR spectroscopic comparison with an authentic sample. Thus DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 31a is of high enantiopurity and little epimerisation at the 2-acetoxypropanoyl centre takes place in its formation from $\mathrm{MAQ}^{2} 30$ ( $c f$. above).

Diastereoisomeric $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31b exhibits very different chemoand stereo-selective behaviour to that of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a with 1phenylethylamine and alanine ethyl ester (Scheme 15). Thus the reaction with 1-phenylethylamine is now non-chemoselective but amides 21 and $\mathbf{2 5}$ are formed with $85 \%$ ee and $80 \%$ de respectively. Alanine ethyl ester reacted almost completely chemoselectively and the $N$-2-acetoxypropanoyl amide 33 was obtained with $94 \%$ de.

As yet, an explanation for these extraordinary differences in stereochemistry and chemo- selectivity is not available: following the reactions by NMR spectroscopy showed that $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31b reacted at least 500 times faster with 1-phenylethylamine than DAQ ${ }^{2} 31 \mathrm{a}$.

## 3-[ $N, N$ - $\operatorname{Bis}((S)$-acetoxypropanoyl)amino]-2-alkylquinazolinones 35, 36 and 37 (DAQs 35, 36 and 37)

The preparation of DAQs $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 6}$ from reaction of the corresponding 3 -aminoquinazolinones with excess ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride was also described previously (Scheme 16a). Although these DAQs had significant optical rotations, some epimerisation at both ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chiral centres, leading to partial racemisation, could not be ruled out particularly since some meso-isomer was isolated from the reaction mixture in the case of DAQ 35.

In DAQ 35 also, there was a conformational bias within the imide moiety with the expected exolendo $\rightleftharpoons$ endolexo equilibrium in Scheme 16a wholly on the $\mathbf{3 5}$ exolendo side.


Scheme 15 Reagents and conditions: i, 1-Phenylethylamine $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; ii, alanine ethyl ester, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

$35 R-M c$ $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{s}}$ 36
$\mathbf{3 7}$
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35 exolendo
$\mathrm{Mc}{ }^{-7}$
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Scheme 16 Reagents: i, $(S)-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; ii, rac$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

It was proposed that as for DAQ's a-d this bias arose from a high conformational preference within the 2-acetoxypropanoyl groups which led to the steric interaction shown between the methyl group in the 2-acetoxypropanoyl and that on the Q2position thus destabilising the $\mathbf{3 5}$ endolexo conformation. This conformational bias in DAQ 35 is important because the $N-N$ bond is now clearly a chiral axis whose presence is required for high levels of enantioselectivity in reaction with racemic amines § (see below).

DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 37a was prepared in good yield from the 3 -aminoquinazolinone 38 by $N, N$-diacylation with ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride via the isolable MAQ ${ }^{2} 32$ (Scheme 16b). Conversion of MAQ ${ }^{2} 32$ into $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 37a was unaccountably faster than the analogous reaction forming $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 35$ and, importantly, no meso isomer $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ was present in the crude reaction mixture. An authentic sample of meso $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 7 b}$ was obtained by reacting MAQ ${ }^{2} 32$ with rac-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride; the ratio of $\mathbf{3 7 a}$ : meso 37b formed in this second $N$-acylation was $10: 1$ i.e. this reaction was highly diastereoselective. The NMR spectrum of meso-DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 37b showed one broad signal for the CHOAc proton at $\delta 5.45$ which separated at $-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into two broadened signals at $\delta 4.9$ and 5.8 (ratio $1: 1$ ); this meso-isomer 37b would be expected to be present as a $1: 1$ mixture of the exolendo and endolexo conformers as is the analogous meso-DAQ 35. ${ }^{5}$
§ If attack by the amine on both exo carbonyl groups of either exolendo and endolexo or exolexo conformations of DAQ 35 were to occur, little enantioselectivity would be expected if the effect of the chiral centres on the latter was small as is believed to be the case.


Fig. 4 The molecular structure of 37a. Details as for Fig. 1.

In the X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 7 a}$ (Fig. 4) the imide is present in the usual exolendo conformation but the torsion angle $\phi$ between the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OAc}$ bonds in the exo-oriented $\mathrm{COCH}(\mathrm{OAc})$ Me group $\left(130.9^{\circ}\right)$ is very different not only from the corresponding $\phi$ in DAQ $35\left(20.8^{\circ}\right)$ but also from all values for $\phi$ in other DAQ crystal structures containing this $\mathrm{COCH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group that we have determined. $\uparrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH} \\
& 11 \quad 1 \\
& \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathrm{OAC}
\end{aligned}
$$

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 37a also differed from those of DAQs 35 and 36 in showing broadening of the two CHOAc proton signals at $\delta 4.88$ and 5.80 ppm which sharpened at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\delta 4.54$ and 6.10 ppm$)$; small additional signals also appeared at $\delta 4.40,5.07$ and $6.07 \mathrm{ppm}(\sim 20 \%$ of major signals) (the spectra of DAQs 35 and $\mathbf{3 6}$ were unchanged when run at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Although the process giving rise to this signal broadening in $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 37 \mathrm{a}$ is not known it may arise from the abnormal $\phi$ value in the crystal structure above. || In any event, it does not appear that $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 37 \mathrm{a}$ is undergoing fast exolendoendolexo interconversion at room temperature.

【 For the endo-oriented $\mathrm{COCH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group in $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 37 \mathbf{a} \phi$ is in the more normal range ( $37.6^{\circ}$ ).
|| Possibly there is an equilibrium in solution between the conformation having the normal value of $\varphi$ as in DAQ 37a and that in the crystal structure.
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Scheme 17 Reagents and conditions: i, 35, $\mathrm{PhCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ the $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, $37 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{PhCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NH}_{2}(2 \mathrm{eq}),. \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h; iii, 37a, $\mathrm{PhCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, (5 eq.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

Reactions of DAQs 35 and 37a with amines. The reaction products of DAQs 35 and 37 a with 1-phenylethylamine are given in Scheme 17.
At least part of the inferior diastereopurity of the product of reaction with DAQ 35 can be ascribed to its enantioimpurity. Thus its reaction with excess ( $S$ )-1-phenylethylamine under the conditions in Scheme 17 gave an $8: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers of amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ that must have resulted from partial epimerisation at both chiral centres in preparation of DAQ 35. By contrast, reaction of DAQ $37 \mathbf{a}$ with excess $(R)-1$-phenylethylamine using the conditions in Scheme 17 gave only 25c the ( $R, S$ )diastereoisomer of amide 25 confirming that DAQ 37a is enantiopure.

Although the level of enantioselectivity in reaction of primary amines (1-phenylethylamine) with DAQ ${ }^{2} 37$ a is modest (even with 5 eq. of amine), with secondary amines 2-methylpiperidine and 2-propylpiperidine (coniine) the enantioselectivity is excellent (Scheme 18). Assignment of configuration to the major product 29a was made by NMR spectroscopic comparison with the sample prepared previously (Scheme 11).


Scheme 18 Reagents and conditions: i, 2-Methylpiperidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ then $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, 2-propylpiperidine (4 eq.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h .

To assess the contribution of the 2-acetoxypropanoyl chiral centres to the stereoselectivities in reactions of DAQs containing this group, we prepared $N-[(S)-2$-acetoxypropanoyl $]-N$ acetylaminophthalimide (DAP) 41 and reacted it with 1-phenylethylamine (Scheme 19). At $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction took place on both acetyl and 2-acetoxypropanoyl groups (ratio 1.2:1 respectively) but the enantioselectivity/diastereoselectivity in each case was minimal.


Scheme 19 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}$, 24 h ; ii, $(S)-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{COCl}$, pyr. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; iii, $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~h},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Repetition of this experiment but using excess pure ( $S$ )phenylethylamine gave only amide 25d confirming that DAP 41 was enantiopure.

## Conclusions

All of the DAQs examined in this work react enantioselectively with the limited range of racemic amines used. Even under the conditions of stoichiometry (1 eq. DAQ-2 eq. amine) enantioselectivities sometimes $>90 \%$ are obtained in both the derivatised amine enantiomer (amide) and in the recovered enantiomer. Where reaction of the amine with the DAQ is nonchemoselective and amides derived from both the DAQ imide carbonyl groups are formed (and must be separated), each of these carbonyl groups reacts preferentially with complementary enantiomers of the amine leading to enhanced enantiopurity in the isolated amides (parallel kinetic resolution). By this means even 3-methylpiperidine was recovered with $85 \%$ ee as the $N$-benzoyl derivative by reaction with $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9$.

The sense of enantioselectivity in reactions of all these DAQs with amines is determined by the configuration of the $N-N$ bond: the very low levels of enantioselectivity obtained from reaction of the $N, N$-diacylphthalimide 41 with 1 -phenylethylamine confirms that the configuration of the chiral centre is not important for the high levels of kinetic resolution achieved.
The requirement for separation of the enantiopure diastereoisomeric DAQs used in these kinetic resolutions is obviated in the case of DAQs 9 and 37a because their formation is completely diastereoselective.

## Experimental

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-250 spectrometer at 250 MHz and 63 MHz respectively at room temperature in deuterochloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ unless stated otherwise. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra at 400 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 100.6 MHz were recorded on a Bruker DRX spectrometer at room temperature in deuterochloroform unless otherwise indicated. Infra-red (IR) spectra of crystalline compounds were determined using Nujol mulls and of liquids either in dichloromethane or chloroform solutions, or neat, on a Perkin-Elmer 298 spectrophotometer. Melting points (mps) were determined with a Kofler hot stage and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were determined using a Kratos Concept mass spectrometer using electron impact (EI), chemical ionisation (CI) or fast atom bombardment (FAB) and high resolution masses (accurate masses) were obtained by peak-matching using perfluorokerosene; except for the molecular ion $\mathrm{M}^{+}$or $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$, only peaks $\geq 20 \%$ of the base peak are given. Some mass spectra were also determined using a Micromass Quattro lc (MQlc) spectrometer with ionisation by Electrospray and operation via "open Access" software with an autosampler, Elemental analysis was carried out by CHN Analysis, Wigston, Leicester. Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter at 589 nm and are given in $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Flash chromatography was carried out using silica gel C60 (3570) (supplied by Merck \& Co.) and kieselgel chromatography using kieselgel 60, 230-400 mesh. TLC was conducted on aluminium plates pre-coated with a 0.2 mm layer of silica, manufactured by Merck \& Co. Purification by Chromatotron (Harrison Research California) was performed using model 7924 T with circular and kieselgel $60\left(\mathrm{PF}_{254}\right)$ and also kieselgel $60\left(\mathrm{GF}_{254}\right)$ silica plates supplied by Merck \& Co. Light petroleum refers to the fraction ( $\mathrm{bp} 60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Pyridine, 2-methylpiperidine and 3-methylpiperidine were purified by distillation from calcium hydride. Ether refers to diethyl ether and was sodium dried prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium and benzophenone immediately prior to use. Routine drying of organic solutions was carried out using magnesium sulfate unless otherwise indicated. Solvent removal under reduced pressure means using a Buchi rotary evaporator and a water pump ( $\sim 12 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) unless otherwise indicated. $n$-Butyllithium ( 1.6 M ) was used as received from Aldrich Chemical Co. Lead tetraacetate (LTA) (damp with acetic acid) was dried prior to use under vacuum using an oil pump $(\sim 1 \mathrm{mmHg})$ for 15 min . All reaction products were dried using an oil pump $(\sim 1 \mathrm{mmHg})$ prior to spectroscopic analysis. Yields are isolated ones unless otherwise stated.

## General procedure I for the mono- N -acylation of 3-aminoquinazolinones

To the 3-aminoquinazolinone dissolved in dry dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$ containing dry pyridine ( 1.5 mol eq.) was added the acid chloride ( 1.5 mol eq.) dropwise with stirring. After stirring for 12 h at room temperature, more dichloromethane was added ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ ) and the solution washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, then water, dried and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by crystallisation or chromatography as indicated.

3-Benzoylamino-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methyl-propyl]quinazolin-4(3H)-one 7. The general procedure I was followed using 3-aminoquinazolinone $6^{5}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine ( $0.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and benzoyl chloride ( $0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The yellow oil obtained on workup was triturated with ethyl acetate-light petroleum and the solid obtained gave the title 3-benzoylaminoquinazolinone 7 as colourless crystals ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ), mp 147-149 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$452.2369. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$, requires $\left.M H^{+} 452.2369\right) ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+26\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3350 \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{br}$,

1692 s and $1609 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N-N$ bond rotamers), major rotamer; -0.01 and $0.14\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.9$ and 1.02 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 0.96\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right]$, $2.13\left[1 \mathrm{H},(7\right.$ peaks $\left.), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0, \mathrm{CHOSi})$, $7.4-7.61[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.79[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7$ and $8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 8.0[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})], 8.2[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $9.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ minor rotamer (observable signals), $2.42\left[1 \mathrm{H},(7\right.$ peaks $\left.), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0, \mathrm{CHOSi})$ and $8.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$; from comparison of the signal at $\delta 4.49$ and $\delta 4.65$ the ratio of $N-N$ bond rotamers was $7: 1 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100.6$ MHz at $\left.50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)-4.7$ and $-4.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left[\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \text {, }}\right.$ 18.2 and $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH} 3\right), 25.8\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 33.1\left[\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, $78.6[\mathrm{br}(C \mathrm{HOSi})], 120.8[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 126.9,127.5,127.8,128.1$, $129.8,132.9$ and $134.7[5 \times C H(P h)$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 132.5$ $[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})], 146.6[C-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.7[C \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{Q})], 165.9[C \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 169.1 ( PhCO ); $m / z$ (\%) $452\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 394$ (71), 275 (19), and 187 (13).

Low temperature NMR studies were carried out on a sample of 7 crystallised from light petroleum and dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see text).

3-Benzoylamino-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxyethyl]-quinazolin-4( $\mathbf{3 H} \mathbf{H})$-one 11. The general procedure $I$ above was followed using 3-aminoquinazolinone $\mathbf{1 0}^{11}(3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and benzoyl chloride ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After work-up the yellow oil obtained was triturated with ethyl acetate-light petroleum and the solid obtained gave the title 3-benzoylaminoquinazolinone 11 as colourless crystals ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ), mp $181-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum-ethyl acetate) (Found: C, $65.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.9$; N, 9.8. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ requires C, 65.2; H, 6.9; N, 9.9\%) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$424.2056. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$, requires $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$424.2056); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3250 \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{br}, 1690 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1610 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N-N$ bond rotamers), major rotamer; -0.01 and $0.1\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.8\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOSi}\right), 4.91$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CHOSi}), 7.3-7.5[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $3 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})], 7.51-7.7[2 \mathrm{H}$, structured $\mathrm{m}, 7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.85[2 \mathrm{H}$, structured $\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})], 8.12[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 9.4 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$; minor rotamer (observable signals), -0.09 and $\left.-0.01\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}\right)_{3}\right), 0.79\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOSi}\right), 5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CHOSi})$ and $8.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, NH ); from comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 4.91$ and 5.0 the ratio of $N-N$ bond rotamers is $2: 1 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.8$ and $-4.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 18.7\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOSi}\right), 26.2$ $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 70.8(\mathrm{CHOSi}), 121.4[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.5,128.2,128.5$, $129.2,131.1,133.1$ and $135.3[5 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 31.2$ $[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})], 147.4[\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 161.4[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 166.3$ and 167.7 [CO(Q) and PhCO$] ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}) 424\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 366$ (51), 292 (22) and 145 (20).
A crystal of compound 11 suitable for X-ray structure determination (Fig. 2) was obtained from methanol.

3-(2-Methylpropanoylamino)-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-oxy-2-methylpropyl]quinazolin-4(3H)-one 13. The general procedure I for monoacylation was followed using 3-aminoquinazolinone $6^{5}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine $(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and 2-methylpropanoyl chloride $(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The brown oil obtained on work-up was triturated with ethyl acetate-light petroleum and the solid obtained crystallised to give the title 3-(2-methylpropanoylamino) quinazolinone $\mathbf{1 3}$ as colourless crystals ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 116-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.38,3: 1\right.$ light petroleum-ethyl acetate); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+27\left(c \quad 2.1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$418.2526. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$418.2526); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N-N$ bond rotamers), major rotamer -0.02 and $0.16\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.94$ and $1.04(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 1.36$ and $1.39[6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 6.9,\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCO}\right], 2.08\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},(7\right.$ peaks $\left.) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right]$, $2.73\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}\right.$ (heptet), $\left.J 6.9,\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCO}\right], 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0$, $\mathrm{CHOSi}), 7.53[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,7.0$ and $1.6,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.75[1 \mathrm{H}$,
ddd, $J 8.2,7.0$ and $1.6,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.82[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.2$ and $1.6,8-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $8.31[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; minor rotamer (observable signals) 0.04 and $0.12(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 2.3\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, ( 7 peaks) $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right]$ and $4.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 7.0, \mathrm{CHOSi}$; from comparison of the signals at $\delta 4.45$ and $\delta 4.65$, the ratio of $N-N$ bond rotamers was $6: 1 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.9$ and $-4.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 18.6\left[\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right], 19.4, ~ 19.7, ~}^{33.2 \text { and } 34.5}\right.$ $\left(4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.2\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right],(\mathrm{CHOSi})$ missing, $121.4[4 \times \mathrm{CCO}-$ $(\mathrm{Q})], 127.5,128.8$ and $135.1[4 \times C \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 146.8[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $160.4[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $174.1(\mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}) 418\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$, 360 (77), 275 (23) and 216 (31).

3-Acetylamino-2-diphenylmethylquinazolin- $\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{3 H} \mathbf{H}$-one $\mathbf{3 0}$.
The general procedure I was followed using the 3-aminoThe general procedure 1 was followed using the 3 -amino$12 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and acetyl chloride ( 0.58 g , 7.3 mmol ) and the reaction mixture stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After work-up the brown oil obtained was purified by column chromatography on silica using light petroleumethyl acetate $(1: 1)$ as eluent to give the title 3-ethanoylaminoquinazolinone $\mathbf{3 0}$ as colourless crystals ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.34; 1:1 ethyl acetate-petroleum); mp 228-231 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethyl acetate) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 370.1556 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, requires $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$ 370.1556); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $5.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhCHPh})$, $7.28-7.48[10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})], 7.53[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.0,6.9$ and $1.1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.69[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.0,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.80[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J 8.0$, 6.9 and $1.1,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $8.21[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.0$ and 1.1, $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO) $20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 52.7\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $121.0[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 126.8,127.1,127.4,127.5,127.9,128.4,128.9$, $129.4,129.6$ and $135.4[10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 139.8$ and $140.4[2 \times \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})], 146.4[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 159.2$ and $159.3[C=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $169.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$.

## 2-[(S)-1-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methylpropyl]-3-

 ethanoylaminoquinazolin- $\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{3 H}$ )-one 16 . The general procedure I was followed using 3-aminoquinazolinone $\mathbf{6}^{5}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichloromethane ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After work-up the brown oil obtained was purified by flash chromatography on silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(5: 1)$ as eluent to give the 3 ethanoylaminoquinazolinone 16 as a colourless oil ( $0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.31$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$390.2213. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M H^{+}$ 390.2213 ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3400 \mathrm{~m} 1700 \mathrm{~s}, 1610 \mathrm{~s}, 1470 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1075 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond rotamers) major rotamer, -0.02 and $0.15\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.93$ and $1.15(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)\right], 2.08[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},(7$ peaks $)$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ], $2.3\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CHOSi})$, $7.73[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,7.0$ and $1.3,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.76[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.2$ and $7.07-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.81[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.2$ and $1.3,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 8.20[1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J 8.2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $8.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ minor rotamer, (observable signals), $4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CHOSi})$ and $8.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.7$ and $-3.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 18.4\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right], 147.3$ $[C-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 157.8[\mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{Q})], 161.3[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $170.9(\mathrm{CO})$. From comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 4.49$ and $\delta 4.62$ the ratio of rotamers was $4: 1 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.9$ and -4.3 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 18.6\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 18.7,19.9$ and $32.8\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.3\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 33.6\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right], 79.0$, $\left.\mathrm{br}(\mathrm{CHOSi})\right], 121.2$ $[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.3,127.5,128.5$ and $135.3[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 146.9$ $[C-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 157.1[C=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.7[C \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $169.4(\mathrm{CO})$; $m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}) 390\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right) 374$ (20), 332 (84), 290 (21), 216 (44) and 187 (20).
## General procedure II for preparation of 3-diacylaminoquinazolinones (DAQs) from 3-monoacylaminoquinazolinones (MAQs)

To a solution of the 3 -acylaminoquinazolinone ( 1 eq.), prepared as described above, in dry dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$ containing dry pyridine ( 1.5 eq.) and dry DMF ( 4 drops), was
added the acid chloride ( $2-3$ eq.) dropwise over 10 min and the mixture stirred and heated under reflux for 2-4 days, monitoring the disappearance of the starting 3 -monoacylaminoquinazolinone by TLC. After cooling, additional dichloromethane was added, and the solution was washed with aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, then water, dried, and the dichloromethane removed under reduced pressure. The bulk of the residual pyridine was removed using an oil pump and the product purified by flash chromatography.

3-Diethanoylamino-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxyethyl]-quinazolinone-4( $\mathbf{3 H}$ )-one 2. 3-Aminoquinazolinone $\mathbf{1 0}^{11}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetic anhydride $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, pyridine $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 48 h . The solution was poured into water $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, excess acetic anhydride decomposed by stirring for $\sim 10 \mathrm{~min}$ and the product extracted into dichloromethane $\left(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), then water, dried, and the solvents removed under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the oily product obtained with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $5: 1$ ) as eluent gave the title $D A Q$ $2\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32\right)$ as a colourless oil ( $0.51,80 \%$ ) (Found: M ${ }^{+} 403.2005$. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $\left.M^{+} 403.2005\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.07$ and $0.02(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.79\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 2.29$ and $2.32\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}$, q, $J 6.6$, CHOSi), $7.4[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2, \sim 7$ and $\sim 1.5,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.65$ [ 1 H , dd, $J 8.2$ and $\sim 1.5,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.7[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J \sim 8,7.0$ and $\sim 1.5,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $8.15[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J \sim 8$ and $\sim 1.5,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; m / z(\%)$ $403\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 362(35), 346$ (62), 304 (55), 262 (22), 230 (26) and 188 (21).

3-Dibenzoylamino-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxyethyl]-quinazolin-4 $\mathbf{( 3 H )}$-one 3. The general procedure II for diacylation was followed using MAQ $11(3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and benzoyl chloride ( $2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture heated under reflux for 10 h . The yellow oil obtained after work-up was purified by column chromatography on silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $3: 1$ ) as eluent and gave DAQ $3\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.64\right)$ as colourless crystals ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ), mp $127-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) (Found: C, 68.0; H, 6.3; N, 7.9. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires C, 68.2; H, 6.3; N, 7.9\%) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 528.2319 . \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$, requires $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 528.2319$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.02$ and $0.01(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.9\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 1.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ d, $J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ CHOSi), $5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CHOSi}), 7.1-7.4[7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $6 \times \mathrm{PhCH}], 7.6[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,7.0$ and $1.3,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.80-$ $7.87[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.4[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2$, $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.8$ and $\left.-4.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}\right)_{3}\right), 19.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}-\right.$ Si), $22.7\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 26.3\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 71.6(\mathrm{CHOSi}), 122$ [CCO(Q)], 127.8, 128.4, 128.6, 130.1, 130.5, 132.8 and 134.8 $[10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 135.0$ and $135.5[2 \times C(\mathrm{Ph})]$, $147.3[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 157.4[C=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.5,[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 170.1$ and $170.9(2 \times \mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}), 528\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$ and $470(70)$.
Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave unreacted MAQ ${ }^{3} 11$ as colourless crystals ( 1.5 g ).

3-( $N$-Benzoyl- N -2-methylpropanoylamino)-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methylpropyl]quinazolin-4(3H)-one 8. General procedure II for diacylation was followed using 3benzoylaminoquinazolinone $7(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry dichloromethane ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dry pyridine ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$.) and 2methylpropanoyl chloride ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 5 days. The yellow oil obtained on work-up ( 1.2 g ) was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel and elution with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (5:1) to give $D A Q^{1} \mathbf{8}\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.48\right)$ as a colourless oil $(1 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ and as a mixture of diastereoisomers. Re-chromatography using kieselgel with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(10: 1)$ as eluent gave the faster running $D A Q^{1}$ diastereoisomer $\mathbf{8 a}$ as a viscous
colourless oil $(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%)\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.42\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 522.2789$. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$, 522.2789); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1700 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1605 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.13$ and $0.01\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH} H_{3}\right), 0.98[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 1.05$ and 1.13, 1.28 and $1.39(12 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6,2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), $2.2\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},\left(7\right.\right.$ peaks), $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 2.95[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}$, $\left.J 6.6,\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right], 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ d, $J 5.0, \mathrm{CHOSi}), 7.50-7.75[4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.8-8.10[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{and} 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.42[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.0$ and $1.3,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ;$ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.9$ and $-3.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 19.7,20.6$, 20.9, 32.3 and $35.3\left(4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $26.3\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right]$, (CHOSi missing), $121.6[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.7,127.8,128.4,129.2$, 129.3, 133.7 and $135.5[5 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})]$, 134.1 $[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})], 146.7[\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 156.6[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.5[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 170.2 and 178.8 [ $2 \times \mathrm{CO}$ ]; $m / z(\%)$, (FAB) $522\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 66 \%\right), 452$ (80), 436 (21), 394 (100), 275 (60), 232 (47) and 187 (33)

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave the major more polar DAQ ${ }^{1}$ diastereoisomer $\mathbf{8 b}\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37\right)$ as colourless crystals ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp} 126-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) (Found: C, 66.8; H, 7.5; N, 8.1. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ Si requires C, 66.8; $\mathrm{H}, 7.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.1 \%) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1700 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1605 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.02$ and 0.07 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.89\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 1.08,1.14,1.20$ and $1.36\left(12 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.23[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, (7 lines), $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 2.78\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{J} 6.6,\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCO}\right], 4.66$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.2, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{OSi}), 7.53-7.67[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.8-7.94[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.95-8.05[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.42[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.3$ and $1.0,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.6$ and $-3.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 18.9\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 19.6,20.3,21.0,32.5$ and $35.6\left(4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $26.4\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right],(\mathrm{CHOSi}$ missing), $121.7[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.7,128.3,135.4,129.1,129.7$, 133.5 and $136.4[5 \times C H(P h)$ and $4 \times C H(Q)], 135.0[C(P h)]$, $146.8[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 156.3[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.4[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 169.9 and $179.4(2 \times \mathrm{CO})$. From comparison of signals at $\delta 2.78$ and 2.95 in NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product the ratio of $\mathbf{8 b}-8 \mathbf{a}$ was $1.6: 1 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ (FAB) $522\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 66 \%\right), 452$ (80), 436 (21), 394 (100), 275 (60), 232 (47) and 187 (33). An X-ray structure determination was carried out on a crystal of diastereoisomer 8b obtained from ethanol. ${ }^{3 b}$

DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{8 b}(25 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h to give a $1: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{8 a - 8 b}$ by comparison of the NMR spectrum of the solution with those of authentic samples above.
$N$-Benzoyl- $N$-ethanoylamino-2-[(S)-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-oxy-2-methylpropyl]quinazolin-4(3H)-one 9 . General procedure II for diacylation was followed using 3-benzoylaminoquinazolinone $7(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ containing dry pyridine $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ with acetyl chloride ( $0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added dropwise over 5 min , and the mixture stirred for 3 days with heating under reflux. The yellow oil obtained on work-up ( 1.2 g ) was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $4: 1$ ) as eluent to give $D A Q^{I} 9\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56\right)$ as colourless crystals $(0.84 \mathrm{~g}$, $76 \%$ ), mp 136-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-222.4$ (c 2.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) (Found: C, 65.0; H, 7.1; N, 8.4; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires C, 65.1; H, 7.1; N, 8.5\%) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 494.2475$. $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M H^{+} 494.2475$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1700$ s and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.02$ and $0.02\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.82[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}\right], 1.09$ and $1.24\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.23$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.45\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, ( 7 peaks), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ], 4.63 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2, \mathrm{CHOSi}), 7.59-7.74[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $6-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.83-8.08[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.43[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}-4.6$ and $-3.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 18.9$ $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 19.1$ and $20.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 26.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, $26.4\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right], 32.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 82.9(\mathrm{CHOSi}), 121.6[\mathrm{CCO}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $127.8,127.9,128.4,129.1,129.4,130.2$ and $135.5[5 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $4 \times C \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 134.8[C(\mathrm{Ph})], 146.9[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 155.8$ $[\mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.4[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 169.3 and $171.8(\mathrm{CO}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ (FAB) $494\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 436$ (63) and 394 (65). The 1H NMR spectrum of a sample that had been heated at $137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 1 \mathrm{~min}$ showed no change.

A crystal suitable for X-ray crystallographic structure determination was obtained from ethanol (Fig. 1).

3-[ N -( S )-2-Acetoxypropanoyl- N -ethanoylamino]-2-diphenyl-methylquinazolin-4(3H)-one 31. General procedure II was followed using MAQ ${ }^{2} 30(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, pyridine ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride $(0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 24 h . Chromatography of the yellow oil ( 0.95 g ) obtained using flash silica and light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent gave the minor diastereoisomer 31a as colourless crystals ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%$ ); mp 163-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from methanol) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.43$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$484.1873. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $M H^{+}$ 484.1873); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1745 \mathrm{~s}, 1710 \mathrm{~s}, 1610$ and $1602 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhCHPh}), 6.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}-\right.$ $\mathrm{OAc}), 7.03-7.26[10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})], 7.31[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.3$, 6.6 and $1.3,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.50[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.2$ and $1.3,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.59$ $[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,6.6$ and $1.3,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $8.05[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.2$ and $1.3,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at 400 MHz at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ remained unchanged; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 16.2$, 20.9, and $22.0\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.9$ $(\mathrm{PhCHPh}), 72.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} C \mathrm{HOAc}\right), 121.0[\mathrm{CCO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.2,127.8$, 128.1, 128.6, 128.9, 129.2, 129.8, 129.9, 130.3 and $135.8[10 \times$ $C \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Ar})$ and $4 \times C \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 138.1$ and $140.0[2 \times \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})], 147.0$ $[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 157.9[C=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160[C \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $171.8,172.5$, and $172.7(3 \times \mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}), 484\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 154$ (100), 136 (82) and 115 (40).

An X-ray structure determination was obtained on a crystal from methanol (Fig. 3a).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave the $D A Q^{2}$ diastereoisomer 31b ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.23$ ) as colourless crystals $(0.45 \mathrm{~g}$, $35 \%) \mathrm{mp}$ 153-155 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethyl acetate) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$ 484.1873. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $M H^{+} 484.1873$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 1740s, $1705 \mathrm{~s}, 1608$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.82$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}$ ), $2.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ br, PhCHPh), 6.07 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$ br, $J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}$ ), $7.25-7.53$ $[10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})], 7.57[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,7.1$ and 1.3 , $6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.50[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.2$ and $1.3,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.59[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,7.1$ and $1.3,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $8.05[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.2$ and 1.3 , $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 17.4,21.4$, and $23.1\left(3 \times C \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 53.9\left[(\mathrm{Ph})_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right], 71.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 121.3[\mathrm{CCO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.5,127.9,128.0,128.6$, $128.8,129.8,130.0$ and $135.7[10 \times C H(\mathrm{Ar})$ and $4 \times C \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$, 137.4 and $139.6[2 \times C(\mathrm{Ph})], 146.7[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 157.3[C=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $159.9[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $170.4,171.5$, and $171.9(3 \times \mathrm{CO})$; from comparison of signals $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.07$ and 6.01 in the NMR spectrum of the product obtained after the first column chromatography, the ratio of 31b-31a diastereoisomers present was $1.1: 1$; the signals at $\delta 6.07$ and 5.44 above showed $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ the following temperature dependence: $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \sim 6.0, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}$ (coalescence) and $5.29 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br} ;-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 6.1 \mathrm{~s}$, br and 5.11 s , br; $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 6.09$, q, sharp and 5.1 s , sharp, respectively; $m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}), 484\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 74\right)$, 154 (100), 136 (82) and 115 (40).

An X-ray structure determination was obtained on a crystal from methanol (Fig 3b).

3-\{ $\operatorname{Bis}[(S)$-2-acetoxypropanoyl]amino\}-2-diphenylmethyl-quinazolin- $\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{3 H} \mathbf{H}$-one 37 a . Using general procedure II, a mixture of 3-[(S)-2-acetoxypropanoyl]aminoquinazolinone $\mathbf{3 2}^{4}$ $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, pyridine $(0.39 \mathrm{~g}$, 5.0 mmol ) and distilled ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride $(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred continuously for 24 h at room temperature. The brown oil obtained after work-up was purified by column chromatography on silica using light petroleumethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent to give a colourless oil $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.43\right)$ which solidified on standing. Crystallisation afforded $D A Q^{2}$ 37a ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a colourless solid, $\mathrm{mp} 169-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from methanol) (Found: C, 66.9; H, 5.2; N, 7.6. $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires C, 67.0; H, 5.2; N, 7.6\%) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 556.2083 . \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $\left.M H^{+} 556.2084\right) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1750 \mathrm{~s}$, 1689 s and 1600 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.51\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J 6.7, \mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.10$ and $2.25(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$,
$\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 5.08$ and $6.03(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q} \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CHOAc}), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhCHPh}), 7.31-7.72[11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})$ and $6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $7.86[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.3$ and $1.0,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.95[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.3,7.0$ and $1.0,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $8.35[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.0$ and $1.0,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 1.26$ and $1.52(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6,2 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.0$ and $2.4\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 4.54$ and $6.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 6.6,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 5.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhCHPh})$ additional signals ( $\sim 20 \%$ of those given previously) were present at $\delta 4.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}), 6.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ and $7.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) ;$ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 16.8,20.7,20.9$ and $21.3\left(4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.8(\mathrm{PhCHPh})$, 69.6 and $70.9(2 \times C H O A c), 120.9[C \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.6,127.8$, 128.2, 128.6, 128.8, 128.9, 129.2, 129.8, 129.9 and 136.2 [10 $\times$ $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 139.0$ and $139.6[2 \times \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})], 146.6$ $[C \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 157.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 160.7[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 169.9, 170.7, 171.5 and $172.3(4 \times \mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}), 156\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 442$ (96), 311 (51) and 167 (99).

A crystal grown from methanol was suitable for X-ray structure determination (Fig. 4).

Preparation of meso-bis[(S)-2-acetoxypropanoylamino]-2-diphenylmethylquinazolin- $\mathbf{4 ( 3 H})$-one $\mathbf{3 7 b}$. Using general procedure II, a mixture of 3-[(S)-2-acetoxypropanoylamino]quinazolinone $32(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, pyridine ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 2.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and racemic-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred continuously for 24 h at room temperature. Flash column chromatography of the yellow oil obtained on work-up over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave a colourless solid whose TLC showed two spots at $R_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{s} 0.43$ and 0.37 .

Further elution with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $1: 1$ ) gave unchanged MAQ ${ }^{2} 32$ as a colourless oil $(0.49 \mathrm{~g})\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.5\right.$, 1:1 light petroleum-ethyl acetate).

Re-chromatography of the solid mixture above using a chromatotron and light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 37 \mathrm{a}$ as a colourless solid $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%)\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.43\right)$ identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave meso$D A Q^{2} 37 \mathrm{~b}\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37\right)$ as a colourless oil $(0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \%)$ (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$556.2085. $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $M H^{+} 556.2084$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.3$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.7,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.06\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ $5.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J 6.7,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 5.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhCHPh})$, $7.2-7.9[13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})$ and $6-, 7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and 8.26 $[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.0$ and $1.0,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. In variable-temperature NMR studies $(400 \mathrm{MHz})\left(27,0,-25\right.$ and $\left.-44{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ the broadened signal at $\delta 5.45$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}$ was split into two broad signals ( $\delta 5.0$ and 5.80 ) with a coalescence temperature at $\sim 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## $N-[(S)$-2-Acetoxypropanoyl- $N$-ethanoylamino $]$ phthalimide

41. General procedure II was followed using $N$-acetylaminophthalimide $40^{12}(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol})$, dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, pyridine $(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S)$-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with stirring at room temperature for two days. The pale brown oil $(1.1 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(1: 1)$ as eluent to give $N$-phthalimido-imide 41 as a colourless oil ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.67$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 319.0930$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $M H^{+} 319.0930$ ); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1800 \mathrm{~s}, 1730 \mathrm{~s}$, and $1360 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.54\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 2.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CHOAc})$ and $7.83-8.20[4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})] ; \delta 16.8,20.7$ and $24.6(3 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 70.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 124.8,124.9,135.6$ and $135.8[4 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})], 130.2,130.3[2 \times \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ar})]$ and 164.8, 170.2, 170.4 and $171.1(5 \times \mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}), 319\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 48\right), 277(45), 259$ (100), 154 (60), 137 (62) and 115 (71).

## Competitive reactions of pyrrolidine and piperidine with DAQs 2 and 3

A solution of DAQ $2(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyrrolidine $(18 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.25 mmol ) and piperidine ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in deutero-
chloroform $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was stirred for 6 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz ) showed the ratio of $N$-acetylpyrrolidine to $N$-acetylpiperidine was $20: 1$ from comparison of signals at $\delta 3.42$ and 3.50 with those in the spectra of authentic samples.

The same procedure was carried out using DAQ 3 ( 0.1 g , $0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyrrolidine $(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperidine $(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deuterochloroform $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ for 4 h at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ showed the ratio of $N$-benzoylpyrrolidine to $N$-benzoylpiperidine was $\sim 30: 1$ from comparison of signals at $\delta 3.6$ and 3.7 with those in the spectra of authentic samples.

## General procedure III for enantioselective acylation (kinetic resolution) of racemic amines

To the DAQ (1 eq.) dissolved in the dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$ $100 \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ ) was added racemic amine ( 2 eq .) and the solution stirred at -20 to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time given, monitoring the disappearance of the starting material by TLC at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To work up, further dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} 100 \mathrm{mg}^{-1}\right)$ was added and the solution washed with hydrochloric acid $(2 \mathrm{M})$ to remove unreacted amine, then with water, dried, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Separation of product was carried out using a chromatotron or flash chromatography. The unreacted amine was recovered from the aqueous acid extract as the hydrochloride salt by evaporation of the bulk of the acid-water under reduced pressure first using an oil pump and then drying in a desiccator containing $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for 24 h . For NMR spectroscopic examination of the progress of the reactions, deuterochloroform was substituted for dichloromethane in the procedure above. Yields of amide were based on the (eq. amine used) $/ 2$.

Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1}$ 8a with a 2-methylpiperidine. General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{8 a}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and racemic 2-methylpiperidine ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Flash chromatography of the product with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $3: 1$ ) as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ (69 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%)\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.38\right.$, $3: 1$ light petroleum-ethyl acetate) identical with an authentic sample prepared as described above.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $(R)-N$ -benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine $\mathbf{1 2}$ as a colourless oil ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-31.4\left(c 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, ee $95 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample prepared as described below.

## General procedure IV; derivatization of the unreacted amine

(i) With benzoyl chloride. The unreacted 2-methylpiperidine enantiomer was recovered as the hydrochloride acid salt from the experiment above as a colourless solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). This salt ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in pyridine $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$ ), benzoyl chloride ( $34.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added and the reaction mixture stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After addition of dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ the solution was washed with hydrochloric acid ( $2 \mathrm{M} ; 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) followed by water, dried and evaporated and the pale yellow oil $(41 \mathrm{mg})$ obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (3:1) as eluent to give ( $S$ )- N -benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine $\mathbf{1 2}$ as a colourless oil ( $23.1 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+30\left(c 0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, ee $91 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+32.8\left(c 0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (see below).
(ii) With (S)-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride. The aqueous acid extract in another experiment using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 8$ a carried out as described above, containing unreacted 2-methylpiperidine hydrochloride, was made alkaline with sodium hydroxide ( 2 M ) and the solution extracted with ether $\left(3 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the combined ether layers, dried over powdered potassium hydroxide, pyridine $(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S)$-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride $(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ added and the mixture stirred for 2 h at
room temperature. Work-up as described above gave amide $\mathbf{1 4}$ ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) showed it to contain an 18:1 mixture of $(S, S)-(R, S)$ diastereoisomers 14a$\mathbf{1 4 b}$ (de $89 \%$ ) from comparison of the intensities of signals in its NMR spectrum at $\delta 2.09$ and 2.10 respectively, adding incremental amounts of an authentic sample of the $(R, S)$ diastereoisomer, prepared as described below, and monitoring the increase in the signal at 2.10 ppm .

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} 8 \mathrm{~b}$ with a 2-methylpiperidine

General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} \mathbf{8 b}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19$ mmol ) and 2-methylpiperidine ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in deuterochloroform $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h . Flash chromatography of the product with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1}$ 13 ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.38,3: 1$ light petroleum-ethyl acetate) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $(S)-N$ -benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine 12 as a colourless oil ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+26.7\left(c 0.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ee $81 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample (see below).

The unreacted 2-methylpiperidine enantiomer was recovered as its hydrochloride salt from the extraction with hydrochloric acid $(2 \mathrm{M})$ as a colourless solid ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). Following general procedure IV this salt $(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in pyridine $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was treated with benzoyl chloride ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After work-up, the pale yellow oil ( 70 mg ) obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent to give $(R)$ - $N$-benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine 12 as a colourless oil $(27 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ -26.7 ( $c 0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ee $81 \%$ (see above).

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} 9$ with 2-methylpiperidine

General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{1} 9(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2$ mmol ) and racemic 2-methylpiperidine ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 30 \mathrm{~h}$. After work-up, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product showed the presence of a $\sim 2.5: 1$ ratio of $N$-benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine to $N$-ethanoyl-2-methylpiperidine, inferred from comparison of signals at $\delta 8.20\left[5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})\right.$ for $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} \mathrm{~s} 16$ and 7] and $\delta 8.0[2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ for MAQ ${ }^{1}$ 7] respectively. Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ as colourless crystals ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ), identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 16$ as a colourless oil ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ), identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $(S)-N-$ benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine $\mathbf{1 2}$ as a colourless oil ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+30\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, ee $91 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample (see below).

The unreacted 2-methylpiperidine was obtained as its hydrochloride salt as a colourless solid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+0.5(c 2$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), ee $11 \%$ by comparison with $(R)$-2-methylpiperidine hydrochloride, prepared from the $R$-enantiomer of the amine; $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+4.6\left(c 2.2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} 9$ with a 3-methylpiperidine

General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 9$ ( 0.1 g , 0.2 mmol ) and racemic 3-methylpiperidine ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in deuterochloroform $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h . An ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the solution showed the presence of $3: 1$ ratio of $N$-benzoyl-3-methylpiperidine 17 to $N$-ethanoyl-3-methylpiperidine 18 respectively, inferred from comparison of signals at $\delta 8.20$ [ $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ of $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} \mathrm{~s} 16$ and 7] and $\delta 8.0\left[2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ of MAQ $\left.{ }^{1} 7\right]$
respectively. Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{17}$ as colourless crystals $(18 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%),\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.50\right)$, identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave a mixture of MAQ ${ }^{1} 16$ and amide 17 which was dissolved in THF $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, an excess of TBAF in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) added and the mixture stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The bulk of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residual oil dissolved in dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the solution washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, brine, and water, then dried to give a yellow oil $(0.11 \mathrm{~g})$. Flash column chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave $N$-benzoyl-3-methylpiperidine 17 as a colourless oil $(13 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%),[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+36.7\left(c 0.3, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; ee $85 \%$ based on an enantiopure sample prepared as described below.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave 3-ethanoylamino-2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)quinazolinone 19 as a colourless oil ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 276.1348$. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, requires $M H^{+} 276.1348$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3380 \mathrm{w}, 3240 \mathrm{w}$, 1700 s and $1612 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N-N$ bond rotamers) major rotamer 0.95 and $1.03\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.52\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.3$, $\mathrm{CHOH}), 7.59-7.75[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.8-8.08[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{and}$ $7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $8.36[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; minor rotamer (observable signals), $2.49\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and $4.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 3.0, \mathrm{CHOH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 20.6,20.8$ and $21.3\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.9$ $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right], 72.9(\mathrm{CHOH}), 120.8[\mathrm{CCO}(\mathrm{Q})], 127.3,127.5$, 127.8 and $135.7[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 146.2[C-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 158.4[C=$ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 160.1[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{Q})]$ and $171.0(\mathrm{CO})$. From comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 4.74$ and $\delta 4.93$ the ratio of rotamers is $1.5: 1 ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB}) 276\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 216(46)$ and 154 (51).

The unreacted 3-methylpiperidine was obtained as its hydrochloride salt as a colourless solid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ).

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} 9$ with 1-phenylethylamine

General procedure III was followed using DAQ 9 ( 0.1 g , 0.2 mmol ) and 1-phenylethylamine ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in deuterochloroform $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the solution reaction stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . A proton NMR spectrum of the solution showed the presence of $N$-benzoyland N -acetyl-1-phenylethylamine 20 and 21 in a ratio of $3: 1$ by comparison of signals at $\delta 6.60$ and 5.97 respectively with those of authentic samples at ( $\delta 6.61$ and 5.90 ) and by comparison of signals at $\delta 8.20[5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ for both MAQs 7 and 16] and 8.0 [ $2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})$ for $\left.\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} 7\right]$. Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 7$ ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide (S)-20 as a colourless solid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-16.3(c 0.4$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), ee $82 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-20\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} 16$ ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with ethyl acetate gave amide $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 1}$ as a colourless solid ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ) $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+94\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ee $76 \%$ by comparison with authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+124$ (c 0.5, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

The hydrochloride salt of unreacted 1-phenylethylamine was obtained as a colourless solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-1.1(c 2.6$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ee $16 \%$ by comparison with hydrochloride salt prepared from enantiopure material $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.6\left(c 2.7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} 9$ with valine methyl ester hydrochloride

A solution of racemic valine methyl ester hydrochloride ( 68 mg , 0.4 mmol ) in water $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was treated with aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and extracted with dichloromethane ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After drying and following general procedure III, the dichloromethane solution was added to a cold solution of

DAQ $^{1} 9(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Unreacted alanine ethyl ester was extracted with aqueous hydrochloric acid ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Chromatotron chromatography of the product from the organic extract with light petroleumethyl acetate ( $3: 1$ ) as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 7(3 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%)$ identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide $(S)-22$ as a colourless solid ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) (lit. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{110.5-111}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+43.7$ (c $0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), $94 \%$ ee by comparison with an enantiopure authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+46.2\left(c 0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. ${ }^{13}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+46.0\left(\right.$ c $\left.0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.99$ and $1.02(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dh}, J, 6.6\right.$ and $\left.4.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.3$ and $4.8, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{NH}), 6.63$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3, \mathrm{NH}), 7.4-7.57[3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})]$ and $7.78-7.85$ [2H, m, CH(Ar)].

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 16$ ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

The ( $R$ )-hydrochloride salt of valine methyl ester was recovered as a colourless solid ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-13.3(c 0.9$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), ee $92 \%$ by comparison with enantiopure ( $S$ )-valine methyl ester hydrochloride $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+14.4\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ lit. ${ }^{14}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+15.7\left(c 2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1}$ 24a with 1-phenylethylamine

General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{2 4 a}$ ( 80 mg , 0.15 mmol ) and 1-phenylethylamine ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the solution stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 35 h . After work-up, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude product showed presence of only MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ and amide 25. Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $3: 1$ ) as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1} 13(43 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ identical with that isolated previously.
Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a colourless oil ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed it to contain a $8: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 25a$\mathbf{2 5 b}(R, R: S, R)$ from comparison of signals at $\delta 2.15$ and 2.16 with those of authentic samples.

The ( $S$ )-hydrochloride salt of unreacted 1-phenylethylamine was recovered as a colourless solid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-4.6$ (c $\left.1.9, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(70 \%$ ee $)$ by comparison with the rotation of an enantiopure sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.5\left(c 2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1}$ 24b with 1-phenylethylamine

General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{2 4 b}(0.1 \mathbf{g}$, 0.19 mmol ) and 1-phenylethylamine ( $0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After work-up, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the presence of amides $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ in a $2: 1$ ratio from integration comparison of signals at $\delta 6.42$ and 5.81 in authentic samples (see below) together with the corresponding MAQ ${ }^{1}$ s 13 and 27. ${ }^{5}$ Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{1 3}(17 \mathrm{mg}$, $22 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide 25 as a colourless solid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed it to contain a $\geq 12: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 25d-25c ( $S, S: R, S$ ) (de $85 \%$ ) by comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 2.16$ and 2.17 with those of authentic samples (see below).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave a mixture of MAQ ${ }^{1} 27$ and amide $26(\sim 0.075 \mathrm{~g})$ from comparison of signals at $\delta 5.50$ to 5.12 .

The hydrochloride salt of unreacted 1-phenylethylamine was recovered as a colourless solid ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-0.5$ (c 2 , $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 7.7 \%$ ee by comparison with the rotation of an enantiopure sample of $(R)$-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride, $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+6.5\left(c 2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1} 24 \mathrm{c}$ with amines

(i) With 1-phenylethylamine. General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{1} 24 \mathrm{c}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-phenylethylamine ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the solution stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After work-up a proton NMR spectrum of the crude mixture showed the presence of only MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ and amide 25. Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{1}$ 13 ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a colourless oil ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed it to contain a 15:1 mixture of diastereoisomers 25c-25d (de 88\%) by comparison of the signals at $\delta 2.12$ and 2.11 in the NMR spectrum with those of authentic samples.

The ( $S$ )-hydrochloride salt of unreacted 1-phenylethylamine was recovered as a colourless solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.9$ (c $1.9, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $91 \%$ ee by comparison with the rotation of the enantiopure material $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.4\left(c 1.9, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
(ii) With 2-methylpiperidine. General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1}{ }^{24 c}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and racemic 2methylpiperidine ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the mixture stirred at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After work-up, flash chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1} 13$ ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.38,3: 1$ light petroleum-ethyl acetate) identical with an authentic sample.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide 28 as a colourless oil which crystallised on standing ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) showed it to contain a $\sim 45: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 28a-28b (de $95 \%$ ) from comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 2.11$ and 2.12.

The unreacted 2-methylpiperidine enantiomer was recovered as the colourless, solid hydrochloride ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) and, following general procedure IV, was dissolved in pyridine and derivatised by reaction with ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride to give amide 28 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (at 400 MHz and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) showed it to contain a $17: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 28b-28a (de $89 \%$ ) by comparison of the signals at $\delta 2.10$ and 2.09 respectively with those of authentic samples.
(iii) With 2-propylpiperidine. General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{1} 24 \mathrm{c}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, in dichloromethane with racemic 2-propylpiperidine ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After work-up, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude mixture showed the presence of only MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ and amide 29. Separation of these compounds was carried out using flash chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $3: 1$ ) as eluent to give MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide 29 as a colourless oil which crystallised on standing ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed it to contain a $50: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 29a-29b (de 96\%) from comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 2.11$ and 2.13 in the NMR spectrum at 400 MHz and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Assignment of absolute configuration to 29a and 29b ( $S, S$ and $S, R$ respectively) was based on the $R$ configuration of the unreacted 2-propylpiperidine enantiomer, recovered as the colourless hydrochloride salt ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ); mp $219-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit..$\left.^{15} 218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.0\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 6.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.3-2.2$ $\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.8-3.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}$, $J 6.7, \mathrm{CHN})$ and 9.28 and $9.60(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NHH}) ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.7$ (c 0.42 , ethanol), lit. ${ }^{10}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.3(c 0.33$, ethanol). The ee of this salt is therefore $\sim 90 \%$.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{1}$ 24d with 1-phenylethylamine

General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{2 4 d}$ ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19$ mmol ) and 1-phenylethylamine ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . After work-up, an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture showed the presence of
only MAQ ${ }^{1} 13$ and amide 25 . Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(3: 1)$ as eluent gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{1}$ $13(63 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ identical with an authentic sample.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a colourless oil whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed it to contain an $8: 1(78 \%$ de) mixture of diastereoisomers 25b -25a by comparison of signals at $\delta 2.13$ and 2.12 with those of authentic samples.

The ( $R$ )-hydrochloride salt of unreacted 1-phenylethylamine was recovered as a colourless solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+5$ (c $2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $77 \%$ ee by comparison with enantiopure material $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.5\left(c 2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Reactions of DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 31a

(i) With 1-phenylethylamine. General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-phenylethylamine ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . After workup, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture showed the ratio of amides 21 to $\mathbf{2 5}$ was $>23: 1$ from comparison of signals at $\delta 5.90$ and 6.39 with those of authentic samples ( $\delta 590$ and 6.35 ) respectively (see below). Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{2} 32$ as a colourless solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ), identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 0}$ as a colourless solid ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with ethyl acetate gave ( $R$ )- $N$-(1-phenylethyl)acetamide $21(19 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+116\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, ee $93 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+124$ (c 0.5, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; lit. ${ }^{16}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+129.5\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Unreacted ( $S$ )-1-phenylethylamine was recovered as its hydrochloride salt as a colourless solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-5$ (c $2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), ee $77 \%$, by comparison with an authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.5\left(c 1, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
(ii) With alanine ethyl ester. A solution of racemic alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride $(0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with excess sodium hydrogen carbonate and the free amino acid ester extracted into dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After drying, and following general procedure III, the dichloromethane solution was added to a cold solution of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} \mathbf{3 1 a}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 10 \mathrm{~h}$. Unreacted alanine ethyl ester was extracted with aqueous hydrochloric acid ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The ratio of amides 33 and 34 in the crude product was $\sim 1: 1$ by comparison of the signals at $\delta 6.67$ and 6.13 with those of authentic samples ( $\delta 6.68$ and 6.13 ) respectively. Chromatotron chromatography of the crude product with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{2} 32$ ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide 33 as a colourless oil ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed it to contain a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 33a33b $(R, S-S, S)$ from comparison of the intensities of signals inter alia at $\delta 5.12$ and 5.16 with those in the NMR spectra of an authentic mixture (see below).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $\mathrm{MAQ}^{2} 30$ as colourless crystals ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ) identical with an authentic sample.

Further elution with ethyl acetate gave $(R)$-amide 34 as a colourless oil ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.21(2 \mathrm{H}$, q, $\left.J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dq, $J 7.4$ and $\left.7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right)$ and $6.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}),[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.7\left(c 0.7, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, ee $>97 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample of the $(S)$-enantiomer $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+15.7\left(c 0.7, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (see below) .

The hydrochloride salt of alanine ethyl ester was recovered as a colourless solid ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ).

## Enantiopurity assay of DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 31a

The reaction above was repeated under the same conditions but using pure $(S)$-alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride ( 98 mg , 0.64 mmol ) and $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2}$ 31a ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After separation using chromatotron chromatography as above, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the presence of only one amide ester diastereoisomer 33b $(S, S)$.

## Reactions of DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 31b

(i) With 1-phenylethylamine. General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{3 1 b}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and racemic 1phenylethylamine ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After work-up, a proton NMR spectrum of the crude mixture showed the ratio of amides 21 to 25 was $\sim 1: 1$ respectively by comparison of signals at $\delta 6.10$ and 6.41 with those of authentic samples and confirmed by product isolation (see below). Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{2} 30$ as a colourless solid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a colourless oil ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed it to contain a $9: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 25c$\mathbf{2 5 d}(S, R)-(S, S)$ from comparison of signals at $\delta 2.13$ and 2.12 with those of authentic samples (see below).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave MAQ ${ }^{2} 32$ as a colourless solid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ) identical with that isolated previously.

Further elution with ethyl acetate gave $(S)-N$-(1-phenylethyl)acetamide $21(9 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-100\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ee $85 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+117$ ( $c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), prepared as described below.

Unreacted 1-phenylethylamine was recovered as hydrochloride salt as a colourless solid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-0.5$ (c $2.1, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), ee $7.7 \%$, by comparison with the rotation of an enantiopure sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.5\left(c 2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
(ii) With alanine ethyl ester. A solution of racemic alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was converted to free amine by addition of aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and extracted into dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After drying, and following general procedure III, the dichloromethane solution was added to a cold solution of $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 31 \mathrm{~b}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The ratio of amides 33 to $\mathbf{3 4}$ was $\sim 20: 1$ based on yields of recovered MAQ ${ }^{2} 30$ and MAQ ${ }^{2} 32$ (see below). Separation of these compounds was carried out using a chromatotron with light petroleumethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent and gave MAQ ${ }^{2} 32(3.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $3 \%$ ) as colourless crystals ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32$ ), mp $183-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) identical with an authentic sample (see above).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide 33 as a colourless oil ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed it to contain a $\sim 30: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers 33b33a $(S, S-R, S)$ from comparison of the intensities of signals at $\delta 5.23$ and 5.19 with those at $\delta 5.16$ and 5.12 in the spectra of authentic samples (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+} 232.1185 . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ requires $\left.M H^{+} 232.1185\right) ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440 \mathrm{~s}, 1740 \mathrm{~s}, 1680 \mathrm{~s}, 1525 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1455 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.1$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 1.47\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 5.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{OAc}\right)$ and $6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ br, $J 6.4, \mathrm{NH}$ ); minor diastereoisomer (observable signal) 5.22 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}$ ).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave MAQ ${ }^{2} 30$ as colourless crystals ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ); $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.34 ; 1: 1\right.$ ethyl acetatepetroleum ether) identical with an authentic sample (see above).

The hydrochloride salt of unreacted $(R)$-alanine ethyl ester was recovered as a colourless solid ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.5$
(c $2, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) ee $93 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-8(c 2, \mathrm{MeOH})$.

## Reaction of DAQ 35 with 1-phenylethylamine

General procedure III was followed using DAQ 35 (subsequently shown to be enantio-impure; see below) ( 75 mg , 0.19 mmol ) and 1-phenylethylamine ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the solution stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . After work-up, chromatotron chromatography of the product with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(1: 1)$ as eluent gave amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a colourless oil ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a $2: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{2 5 d}$ by comparison of the signals at $\delta 2.11$ and 2.10 with those of authentic samples

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave MAQ 39 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.36$ ) identical with authentic material. ${ }^{5}$

The hydrochloride salt of unreacted ( $S$ )-amine was obtained as a colourless solid $(17 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%),[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-2.2\left(c 1.7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ee $34 \%$ by comparison with the specific rotation of authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.5\left(\right.$ c $\left.2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Enantiopurity assay of DAQ 35

The reaction was repeated under the same conditions but using pure ( $S$ )-1-phenylethylamine ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DAQ 35 $(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. An NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the presence of an $8: 1$ ratio of amide diastereoisomers $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{2 5 d}$; DAQ 35 is therefore of $77 \%$ ee.

## Reaction of DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 37a with amines

(i) With 1-phenylethylamine (2 eq.). General procedure III was followed using $\mathrm{DAQ}^{2} 37 \mathrm{a}$ ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and racemic 1-phenylethylamine ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the solution stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After workup, chromatotron chromatography of the product with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{2} 32(51 \mathrm{mg}$, $85 \%)\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32\right)$, identical with that prepared above.

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave amide 25 as a colourless oil ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a $5: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{2 5 d}$ ( $67 \%$ de) by NMR spectroscopy and comparison with authentic samples as previously.

The hydrochloride salt of unreacted $(S)$-amine was obtained as a colourless solid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.9\left(c 1.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, ee $60 \%$ comparison with an authentic sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.5(c 1.5$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).
(ii) With 1-phenylethylamine ( 5 eq.). Repetition of the experiment above using 5 eq . of 1 -phenylethylamine gave amide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a colourless oil as an $8: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers 25c-25d ( $78 \%$ de).

## Enantiopurity assay on DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 37a

The reaction above was repeated under the same conditions but using pure ( $R$ )-1-phenylethylamine ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 37a ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). An ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product showed only one signal for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ of amide 25c showing DAQ ${ }^{2}$ 37a is enantiopure.
(iii) With 2-methylpiperidine ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{e q .}$ ). General procedure III was followed using DAQ ${ }^{2} 37$ a ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and racemic 2-methylpiperidine ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the solution stirred at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and then at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 24 \mathrm{~h}$. After work-up, a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude mixture (at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 400 MHz ) showed the presence of $N-2-[(S)$-acetoxypropanoyl]-2-methylpiperidine 28a-28b as a $33: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers ( $94 \%$ de) by comparison of the signals at $\delta 2.096$ and 2.103 with those of authentic samples (see below).

The unreacted 2-methylpiperidine was recovered as the solid, colourless hydrochloride salt ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). A solution of this
salt ( 18 mg ) in water $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was basified with sodium hydroxide ( 2 M ), the 2-methylpiperidine was extracted with ether ( $2 \times$ $1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and the combined extracts were dried over powdered sodium hydroxide and the ether removed carefully under reduced pressure to give ( $R$ )-2-methylpiperidine ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.8(c 0.9$, ethanol) ee $89 \%$ by comparison with the specific rotation of an enantiopure sample $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-8.7$ (c 1.5, ethanol) (see below).
(iv) With 2-methylpiperidine ( $\mathbf{5}$ eq.). Repetition of the experiment above using 5 eq. of 2-methylpiperidine gave amides 27a and 27b in a ratio of $\sim 40: 1$ (de $95 \%$ ).
(v) With 2-propylpiperidine (4 eq.). As in the previous experiment, a solution of DAQ ${ }^{2} 37 \mathrm{a}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2propylpiperidine (coniine) ( $0.092 \mathrm{~g}, 4$ eq.) in dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 16 \mathrm{~h}$. After work-up, chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent gave MAQ ${ }^{2} 32(65 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32\right)$.
Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave $N-((S)-2-$ acetoxypropanoyl)-2-propylpiperidine 29 as a colourless oil ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a $\sim 45: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers 29a-29b $(S, S-R, S)(96 \%$ de $)$ by comparison of signals at $\delta 2.094$ and 2.107 with those of the mixture isolated previously (using DAQ ${ }^{1} 24 c$ ).

## Reaction of $N-[(S)$-2-acetoxypropanoyl- $N$-ethanoylamino $]$ phthalimide (DAP) 41 with 1-phenylethylamine

General procedure III was followed using DAP $41(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31$ mmol ) and racemic 1 -phenylethylamine ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane and the reaction mixture stirred for 6 h at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After work-up, a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude mixture showed the ratio of amides $\mathbf{2 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 5}$ was 1.2: 1 respectively by comparison of signals at $\delta 5.90$ and 6.39 with those of authentic samples. Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $1: 1$ containing $2 \%$ methanol) as eluent gave a 52 : 48 ratio of amides 25d-25c by comparison with authentic samples (see below).

Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave a pure sample of amide $21(15 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%)[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+8.9\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, ee $7.8 \%$ by comparison with an authentic sample.

## Enantiopurity assay on DAP 41

The reaction above was repeated under the same conditions but using pure ( $S$ )-1-phenylethylamine ( $0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DAP 41 ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$. After work-up, a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the same ratio of amides 21-25 (1.2: 1 respectively). After separation using chromatotron as above, a proton NMR spectrum showed the presence of only one diastereoisomer (25d) of amide 25: DAP 41 is therefore enantiopure.

## Preparation of authentic samples

General procedure $\mathbf{V}$ for $N$-acylation of amines. To a stirred solution of the amine $(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$ in dichloromethane was added pyridine ( 2 eq.) followed by dropwise addition of the acid chloride ( 1.3 eq.). After 1 h , further dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) was added, the solution was then washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, hydrochloric acid ( 2 M ), water, then dried and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give the amide.

The following were prepared by the above method.
(i) $N$-Acetylpyrrolidine as a pale yellow oil ( $84 \%$ ), $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 1.89-2.0\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.1\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and 3.42 ( 4 H , struct. $\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), lit. ${ }^{1{ }^{1}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.86-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J 7.1,16.0,4 \mathrm{H})$.
(ii) $N$-Acetylpiperidine as a pale yellow oil ( $83 \%$ ), $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 1.45-1.65\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and 3.37 and $3.54\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{t}, J 5.6,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$; lit. ${ }^{18}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and 3.50 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ).
(iii) $N$-Benzoylpyrrolidine as a light brown oil $(76 \%), \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.72-$ $1.96\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.40$ and $3.60(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{t}, J 6.6$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 7.3-7.4[3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $7.45[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})] ;$ lit. ${ }^{19} \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.80-2.00\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.40,3.64$ $\left(2 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{t}, J 6.4,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 7.35-7.50(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$.
(iv) $N$-Benzoylpiperidine as a pale yellow oil ( $81 \%$ ), $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.3-$ $1.9\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.30$ and $3.70\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ and 7.3-7.4 $[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$; lit. ${ }^{20} 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(v) ( $S$ )- $N$-Benzoyl-1-phenylethylamine 20 from ( $S$ )-1phenylethylamine as a pale yellow oil $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%), \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.55(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 5.3\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $J 6.9, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.1-7.9[10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})] ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-20(c 0.4$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. ${ }^{21}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-20.07\left(1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(vi) ( $R$ )- $N$-Acetyl-1-phenylethylamine 21 from ( $R$ )-1phenylethylamine as a light yellow oil $(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%), \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.29$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ), 1.76 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ br, NH$)$ and $7.0-7.2[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$; $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+117\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; 124\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ lit. ${ }^{16}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+129.5\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(vii) (2S,1' $R$ )-2-Acetoxy- $N$-(1-phenylethyl)propanamide 25c using ( $R$ )-1-phenylethylamine and ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride as colourless crystals ( $82 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.55$ and $1.60(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.9,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12^{* *}\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 5.11-5.30(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.30-7.48[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})$ ].
(viii) (2S,1'S)-2-Acetoxy- $N$-(1-phenylethyl)propanamide 25d using ( $S$ )-1-phenylethylamine and ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride as a colourless oil $(92 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.46$ and $1.51(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 6.9,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 5.08-5.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ d, $J 7.4, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.20-7.38[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $5 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$.
(ix) ( $R$ )- $N$-(2-Methylpropanoyl)-1-phenylethylamine 26 from $(R)$-1-phenylethylamine as a colourless solid (from ethyl acetate) $(84 \%) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.14\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.47$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.34\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.2-7.38[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $5 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})] ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+76.7\left(c 0.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(x) $N$-[(S)-2-Acetoxypropanoyl]alanine ethyl ester 33a and 33b from racemic alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride and ( $S$ )-2acetoxypropanoyl chloride. After work-up the pale yellow oil ( 0.41 g ) obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(2: 1)$ as eluent to give amides 33a and 33b (ratio 1:1) as a colourless oil ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$232.1185. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ requires $M H^{+} 232.1185$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440 \mathrm{~s}, 1740 \mathrm{~s}, 1680 \mathrm{~s}, 1525 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1455 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.22$ and 1.23 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{t}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.35$ and $1.37(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 7.1$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 1.40$ and $1.41\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.09$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 4.14$ and $4.15\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $4.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{NH}\right), 5.12$ and $5.16(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 6.9$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}$ ) and 6.68 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \sim 6, \mathrm{NH}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.4,18.1,18.7$ and $21.4\left(4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 62.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 70.8$ $(C H O A c)$ and 169.8, 170.3 and $173.1(3 \times \mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB})$ $232\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$ and 190 (24).

An authentic sample of 33b was prepared as described above from ( $S$ )-alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride as a colourless oil $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right)$, $1.48\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right), 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 4.21$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 5.19$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right)$ and $6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{br}, J 6.4, \mathrm{NH})$.
(xi) $N$-[(S)-2-Acetoxypropanoyl]-(S)-2-methylpiperidine 28a

[^1]from ( $S$ )-2-methylpiperidine ( 0.1 g scale) [prepared by resolution of the racemic amine with ( - )-mandelic acid according to the literature method ${ }^{7}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+8.9$ (c 2, ethanol), lit. ${ }^{7}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=7.2$ (c $6,95 \%$ ethanol)] and ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride. After work-up, column chromatography of the crude product ( 0.12 g ) over silica gave amide 28 a as a colourless oil $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$.
(xii) $\quad N$-[(S)-2-Acetoxypropanoyl]-( $R$ )-2-methylpiperidine 28b from $(R)$-2-methylpiperidine ( 0.1 g scale) [prepared by resolution of the racemic amine with ( + )-mandelic acid according to the literature method ${ }^{7}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-8.5(c 0.5$, methanol) $]$ and $(S)$ -2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride. Chromatography of the product as above gave amide $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ as a colourless oil $(0.16$ g, $80 \%)$. For a 1:1 mixture of 28a and 28b (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$214.1655. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires $\left.M H^{+} 214.1655\right) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1704 \mathrm{~s}, 1645 \mathrm{~s}, 1510 \mathrm{~s}, 1375 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1250 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N-\mathrm{CO}$ rotamers) (2-methyl axial; ${ }^{22}$ rotamer $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}_{2}$ cis, rotamer $\left.\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{cis}\right), 1.25-1.45(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 1.46-1.80\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.1\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 2.65[0.5 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J \sim 12.5, \sim 12.5$ and $\sim 2$, $\mathrm{H}_{6}$-ax. (A or B)], 3.08 [ 0.5 H , ddd, $J \sim 12.5,12.5$ and $\sim 2, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ax. (B or A)], $3.52\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br d, $J \sim 12, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. A), $3.95(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-eq. B), 4.37 ( $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J \sim 12.5$ and $\sim 4, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. B), 4.83 ( 0.5 H , $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$-eq. A) and $5.33\left[1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J \sim 7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ (A and B)]; from the signals at $\delta 2.65$ and 3.08 the ratio of $N-C O$ rotamers was $1: 1$. Examination of the product by NMR spectroscopy $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ at $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) showed it to be a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers from comparison of signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11$ and 2.12. As for $\mathbf{2 5} / \mathbf{d}$ above $\delta$ for both signals varied depending on concentration/spectrum reproducibility but $\Delta \delta$ was maintained at $0.01 \mathrm{ppm} . \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.8,17.2$ and $21.2\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.1\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $45.0(\mathrm{CHN}), 67.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right)$ and 169.1 and $171.0(2 \times \mathrm{CO})$.
(xiii) (S)-2-Acetoxypropanoyl-2-propylpiperidine 29 from racemic 2-propylpiperidine and ( $S$ )-2-acetoxypropanoyl chloride. After work-up, column chromatography over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluent gave amide 29 as a colourless oil $(0.164 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as a mixture of diastereoisomers (Found: $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$242.1756. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$ 242.1756 ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1740 \mathrm{~s}, 1643 \mathrm{~s}, 1510 \mathrm{~s}, 1375 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1250 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ rotamers) (2-propyl axial; rotamer A, $\mathrm{C}=O / \mathrm{C}_{2}$ cis, rotamer $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}=O / \mathrm{C}_{6}$ cis) $0.85-1.05,1.2-1.85(13 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 2.66(0.25 \mathrm{H}$, br dd, $J \sim 12.5$ and $12.5, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ax. B), $3.17(0.75 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 12.5$, 12.5 and $\sim 2, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ax.-A), $3.58\left(0.75 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br d, $J 12, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-aq. A), 3.93 $\left(0.25 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$-eq. B), $4.48\left(0.25 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br d, $J \sim 12, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. B), 4.72 $\left(0.75 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$-eq. A), $5.37\left(0.75 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{A}\right)$ and 5.48 $(0.25 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7, \mathrm{~B})$ (ratio A: B is $3: 1$ ). Examination of the product by NMR spectroscopy ( 400 MHz at $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) showed it to be a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers from comparison of signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11$ and $2.13 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.4,17.1$ and $19.6\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 19.2, 25.7, 26.4, 26.6, 28.3 and $28.5\left(6 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.8(\mathrm{CHN}), 68.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOAc}\right)$ and 169.2 and $170.8(2 \times \mathrm{CO}) ; m / z(\%)(\mathrm{FAB})$ $242\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 200$ (48) and 154 (24).
(xiv) ( $S$ )- $N$-Benzoyl-2-methylpiperidine $\mathbf{1 2}$ from ( $S$ )-(+)-2methylpiperidine [prepared by resolution of the racemic amine with $(-)$-mandelic acid according to the literature method ${ }^{7}$ $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+8.9$ (c 2, ethanol), lit. ${ }^{7}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=7.2$ (c $6,95 \%$ ethanol) $]$ as a colourless oil ( $80 \%$ ) which solidified after setting aside for two days, mp $43-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum) (lit. $.^{22} 42-43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ +32.9 (c $0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ rotamers) (2-methyl axial; rotamer $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}_{2}$ cis, rotamer $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}_{6}$ cis) 1.14 and $1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 1.40-1.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.91 [ 0.5 H , ddd, $J \sim 12, \sim 12$ and $\sim 2, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ax. (A or B)], $3.13\left[0.5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddd, $J \sim 12, \sim 12$ and $\sim 2, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ax. (B or A)], $3.55(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J \sim 12, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. A), $4.01\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$-eq. B), $4.60(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J \sim 12, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. B) and 5.06 ( $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$-eq. A).
(xv) $N$-Acetyl-2-methylpiperidine $\mathbf{1 5}$ as a colourless liquid $(84 \%), \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{NC}=\mathrm{O}$ rotamers) (2-methyl axial; rotamer A $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}_{2}$ cis; rotamer B $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}_{6}$ cis) 1.15 and $1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 1.3-1.8$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.12$ and $2.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.67$ and $3.19\left[1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times\right.$ ddd, $J 16.0,14.0$ and $3.0, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ax. (A and B)], 3.61

|  | 9 | 11 | 31a | 31b | 37a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| System | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1}$ | $P 21_{1} 1_{1}{ }_{1}$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 22_{1} 2_{1}{ }_{1}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| alÅ | 9.649(2) | 8.889(2) | 10.167(2) | 9.280(2) | 11.315(3) |
| blÅ | 9.283(6) | 11.391(2) | 25.688(3) | 14.826(1) | 14.210(2) |
| clÅ | 15.152(5) | 23.399(4) | 10.311(2) | 18.246(2) | 17.368(5) |
| $a /^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta 1{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.69(1) | 90 | 115.86(1) | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $u / \AA^{-3}$ | 1347.9(10) | 2369.1(8) | 2423.3(7) | 2510.4(6) | 2792.4(11) |
| T/K | 293 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 190 |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha) / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.123 | 0.126 | 0.092 | 0.089 | 0.095 |
| Refln. measured | 3369 | 2759 | 5740 | 3166 | 3891 |
| Refln. independent | 2842 | 2687 | 4685 | 3088 | 3692 |
| Rint | 0.020 | 0.015 | 0.049 | 0.021 | 0.029 |
| $R 1\{I>2 \sigma(I)\}$ | 0.045 | 0.054 | 0.107 | 0.059 | 0.054 |
| $\mathrm{w} R 2\left(F^{2}\right)$ all data | 0.099 | 0.139 | 0.359 | 0.144 | 0.146 |

$\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.0\right.$ and $3.0, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. A), $4.13\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$-eq. B), $4.49\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.0\right.$ and $3.0, \mathrm{H}_{6}$-eq. B) and $4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-eq. A).
(xvi) ( $R$ )- $N$-Benzoyl-3-methylpiperidine 17 from ( $R$ )-3methylpiperidine [prepared by resolution of the racemic amine with $(+)$-tartaric acid by the literature method ${ }^{9}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.3$ (c 25, methanol), lit. ${ }^{9}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-0.61$ (neat)] as a light yellow oil ( $71 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300 \mathrm{MHz})(1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ rotamers) $1.35-$ $1.92\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44$ and $2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.81$ and $2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH})$ and $7.35-7.45[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})] ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-43\left(c 0.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ or $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-51$ (c 1, methanol); lit. ${ }^{23}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-51.9$ (c 1, methanol), lit. ${ }^{24}$ (for $(S)$-enantiomer) $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+49.5$ (c 1, methanol).
(xvii) ( $S$ )- $N$-Benzoylvaline methyl ester 22 from l-valine methyl ester hydrochloride. Column chromatography of the residual pale yellow oil over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate gave ( $S$ )- N -benzoylvaline methyl ester $\mathbf{2 2}$ as a viscous colourless oil which crystallised on standing ( $91 \%$ ), mp 110-112 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+46.2\left(c 0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. ${ }^{13}$ $\mathrm{mp} 110.5-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+46.0\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.99$ and 1.01 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dh}, J 6.6$ and 4.8 , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 3.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.3$ and 4.8 , $\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{NH}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3, \mathrm{NH}), 7.37-7.55[3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})]$ and $7.78-7.83[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ar})]$.
(xviii) ( $S$ )- $N$-Ethanoylalanine ethyl ester $\mathbf{3 4}$ from l-alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride. The pale yellow oil obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate to give ( $S$ )- N -ethanoylalanine ethyl ester 34 as a colourless viscous oil which crystallised on standing ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3440 \mathrm{~s}, 1740 \mathrm{~s}, 1670 \mathrm{~s}, 1515 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1455 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right), 4.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.1$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 7.4\right.$ and $\left.\sim 6.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{NH}\right)$ and 6.13 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \sim 6.0, \mathrm{NH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 13.1,17.1$ and $21.9\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 47.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 60.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and 169.1 and $172.3(2 \times \mathrm{CO})$; $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+15.7\left(c \quad 0.7 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ lit. ${ }^{25}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+10.4\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J 7,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.4(\mathrm{~d}, J 7,3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.2(\mathrm{q}, J 7,2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.6(\mathrm{q}, J 7,1 \mathrm{H})$ and $6.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Crystallography

All data were measured on a Bruker P4 diffractometer and collected using graphite monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073$ Å) (Table 1). Absorption corrections were not applied to the data sets. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares cycles on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ for all data, using SHELXTL [SHELXTL-an integrated system for solving, refining and displaying crystal structures. Version 5.10, Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison,

WI, USA, 1997]. All non hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were included in refinement cycles riding on bonded atoms. $\dagger \dagger$
$\dagger \dagger$ CCDC reference number(s) 167260-167264. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/pl/b1/b105917n for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format.
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[^0]:    $\ddagger$ It is likely that signals from the minor $N-N$ bond rotamer are absent from this spectrum: enrichment of the major $N$-rotamer (second-order asymmetric transformation) and its non-interconversion with the minor $N$-rotamer at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ has been demonstrated with another MAQ. ${ }^{26}$

[^1]:    ** The signals at $\delta 2.12$ in $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ and $\delta 2.11$ in 25d used for diastereoisomer differentiation, both varied depending on concentration/spectrum reproducibility but the $\Delta \delta$ was $0.010 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{ppm}$ with $\mathbf{2 5 d}$ at higher and $\mathbf{2 5} \mathrm{c}$ at lower chemical shift as shown by addition of incremental amounts of either one of them and observing the increase in the corresponding resonance. For spectroscopic comparison $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{2 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 d} \equiv$ 25a.

